Network analysis identifies weak and strong links in a metapopulation system

Alejandro F. Rozenfeld^{a,1,2}, Sophie Arnaud-Haond^{b,c,2}, Emilio Hernández-García^d, Víctor M. Eguíluz^d, Ester A. Serrão^b, **and Carlos M. Duartea**

aInstituto Mediterraneo de Estudios Avanzados (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas–Universidad de las Islas Baleares), C/Miquel Marqués 21, 07190 Esporles, Mallorca, Spain; ^bCentro de Ciências do Mar do Algarve, Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental–Laboratório Associado, Universidade do Algarve, Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal; dInstituto de Física Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Universidad de las Islas Baleares), Campus Universitat de les Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain; and ^cInstitut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer, Centre de Brest, BP70, 29280 Plouzané, France

Edited by James H. Brown, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, and approved October 16, 2008 (received for review June 8, 2008)

The identification of key populations shaping the structure and connectivity of metapopulation systems is a major challenge in population ecology. The use of molecular markers in the theoretical framework of population genetics has allowed great advances in this field, but the prime question of quantifying the role of each population in the system remains unresolved. Furthermore, the use and interpretation of classical methods are still bounded by the need for a priori information and underlying assumptions that are seldom respected in natural systems. Network theory was applied to map the genetic structure in a metapopulation system by using microsatellite data from populations of a threatened seagrass, *Posidonia oceanica***, across its whole geographical range. The network approach, free from a priori assumptions and from the usual underlying hypotheses required for the interpretation of classical analyses, allows both the straightforward characterization of hierarchical population structure and the detection of populations acting as hubs critical for relaying gene flow or sustaining the metapopulation system. This development opens perspectives in ecology and evolution in general, particularly in areas such as conservation biology and epidemiology, where targeting specific populations is crucial.**

JAS

 $conservation biology | gene flow | networks | payments$

Understanding the connectivity between components of a metapopulation system and their role as weak or strong links remains a major challenge of population ecology (1–3). Advances in molecular biology fostered the use of indirect approaches to understand metapopulation structure, based on describing the distribution of gene variants (alleles) in space within the theoretical framework of population genetics $(4-7)$. Yet, the premises of the classical Wright–Fisher model (4, 6), such as "migration-drift" and "mutation-drift" equilibrium (8) , ''equal population sizes'' or symmetrical rate migration among populations, are often violated in real metapopulation systems. Threatened or pathogen species, for example, are precisely studied for their state of demographic disequilibrium due to decline and local extinctions in the first case, or to their complex dynamics of local decline and sudden pandemic burst in the second. Furthermore, the underlying hypotheses of equal population size and symmetrical migration rates hamper the identification of putative population ''hubs'' centralizing migration pathways or acting as sources in a metapopulation system, which is a central issue in ecology in general, and in conservation biology or epidemiology in particular. Finally, complementary methods of genetic structure analyses, such as hierarchical AMOVA and coalescent methods rely on a priori information (or priors) as to the clustering or demographic state of populations, requiring either subjective assumptions or the availability of reliable demographic, historical or ecological information that are seldom available.

Network theory is emerging as a powerful tool to understand the behavior of complex systems composed of many interacting units (9–11). This approach has been applied to solve a broad array of problems (12–14). In an ecological context, it has been applied to represent geographical landscape connectivity (15, 16), but only recently it has been adapted to represent genetic relationships among populations or individuals (17, 18). Yet, relevant properties of networks, such as resistance (9) to perturbations (i.e., node paralysis or destruction), the ability to host coherent oscillations (19) or the predominant importance of nodes or clusters of nodes in maintaining the integrity of the system or relaying information through it can be deducted from the network topology and specific characteristics (10, 11). Here, we apply network theory to population genetics data of a threatened species, the Mediterranean clonal seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. This clonal seagrass endemic to the Mediterranean has the slowest clonal growth rate of all seagrasses (20) and, although major sexual reproduction events are rare in time (21), the relative contribution of sexual versus clonal reproduction may be relatively high in some populations (22). *P. oceanica* clones produce both male and female flowers, and the fruits are buoyant and can drift tens of kilometers before they lose buoyancy and the seed settles in the seafloor initiating a clone (21). *P. oceanica* can also disperse from fragments, which can be transported by currents and can eventually become rooted at distant populations, as shown by recent analysis of patch formation in *P. oceanica* populations (23). Moreover, limited dispersal has been inferred (22) from its high population genetic structure at geographic scales ranging from the whole Mediterranean to regional and even local meadow scales.

We start by analyzing data at the Mediterranean scale, where clustering of the populations distributed in 2 basins connected by a narrow strait and that were almost isolated during the last glaciation, was rather obvious a priori. This particular geographical and historical context facilitated the classical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) and allows using this example to validate our network analysis and confirm its potential, without a priori knowledge or assumptions, to characterize population genetic structure and to identify populations that are critical to the dynamics and sustainability of the whole system. We then compared classical and network tools at the regional scale of the

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0805571105/DCSupplemental) [0805571105/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0805571105/DCSupplemental)

Author contributions: A.F.R., S.A.-H., E.H.-G., V.M.E., E.A.S., and C.M.D. designed research; A.F.R., S.A.-H., and E.H.-G. performed research; A.F.R. and V.M.E. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; A.F.R., S.A.-H., E.A.S., and C.M.D. analyzed data; and A.F.R., S.A.-H., E.H.-G., V.M.E., E.A.S., and C.M.D. wrote the paper.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: alex@ifisc.uib.es.

²A.F.R. and S.A.-H. contributed equally to this work.

^{© 2008} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

Fig. 1. The network of Mediterranean meadows in which only links with Goldstein distances smaller than the percolation distance *Dp* = 91 (see Fig. 5) are present. Nodes representing populations are roughly arranged according to their geographic origin. The precise geographic locations are indicated as diamonds in the background map. One can identify 2 clusters of meadows, corresponding to the Mediterranean basins (east and west), separated by the Siculo–Tunisian Strait. The size of each node indicates its betweenness centrality (i.e., the proportion of all shortest paths getting through the node).

Spanish coasts, a more common case in population genetics where no strong expectations can be suggested as to the distribution of connectivity among populations or clusters of populations. The results open major perspectives in evolutionary ecology, and more specifically in conservation biology and epidemiology where the capacity to target populations requiring major efforts toward conservation or control is crucial.

Results and Discussion

We build networks of population connectivity for a system of 37 meadows of the marine plant *P. oceanica*, sampled across its entire geographic range—the Mediterranean Sea—by using 7 microsatellite markers (22). The network was built by considering any pair of populations as linked when their genetic distance [Goldstein distance (24)] is smaller than a suitably chosen distance threshold (see *Materials and Methods*). We highlight these links as the relevant genetic relationships either at the Mediterranean (the full dataset) or at the regional (28 populations along Spanish coasts) scales.

The topology of the network obtained at the Mediterranean scale (Fig. 1) highlights, without using any a priori geographical information, the historical cleavage between Eastern and Western basins (22) and the transitional position of the populations from the Siculo–Tunisian Strait (see Fig. 1). Besides this graphical representation, closer to reality than the usual binary trees, indices derived from the holistic analyses of network topology allow unraveling some dynamic properties in terms of gene flow through this network of populations. The average clustering coefficient, $\langle C \rangle$ = 0.96, is significantly higher than the one expected after randomly rewiring the links ($\langle C_0 \rangle$ = 0.76 with σ_0 = 0.02, after 10,000 randomizations) revealing the existence of clusters of populations

more interconnected than expected by chance. The values of betweenness centrality, quantifying the relative importance of the meadows in relaying information flow through the network, immediately highlight a meadow in Sicily (present in 21% of all shortest paths among populations), together with another one in Cyprus (16%), as the main stepping stones between the pairs of populations sampled in the Western and Eastern basins, respectively [Fig. 1 and [supporting information \(SI\) Table S1\]](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1). These results are therefore in agreement with the genetic structure revealed with classical population genetics analysis (AMOVA), revealing past vicariance (22) and a secondary contact zone in the Siculo–Tunisian Strait. The metapopulation structure, the clustering and the ''transition zones'' derived from the network analysis arise without any a priori input on clustering as needed for AMOVA and without using geographic information that was needed in an analysis of allelic richness previously performed to support the existence and localization of a contact zone (22). This example allowed us to test the accuracy of network analysis on a population genetics dataset by comparing its results with a wellunderstood case, where the expected clustering of populations and pathways are rendered almost obvious by the geography (2 clusters of marine populations split by land and communicating only through a narrow strait). This comparison has been a demonstration of the reliability of network analysis for revealing the patterns of population connectivity within a species range.

We then examined a nontrivial case: 28 populations sampled along Spain's continental coasts and in the Balearic islands, more extensively and homogeneously sampled than the rest of the Mediterranean [\(Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1). Classical tools resulted in a matrix of pairwise genetic distances (F_{ST} or Goldstein distance) showing significant differentiation among almost all meadows (except 1

Fig. 2. The network constructed for the Spanish meadows with the ''geographic threshold'' criterion (see Fig. 6). Nodes are shown at the populations' geographic locations. Node sizes characterize their betweenness centrality (i.e., the proportion of all shortest paths getting through the node).

pair) without clear relationship with geographic location, no clear pattern of allelic richness, and a ''comb-like'' topology in an unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) tree, which forces dichotomous branching of the metapopulation network (Fig. 4*A*; see *Materials and Methods*). These methods were unable to highlight neither any particularly central position for the populations analyzed nor the clustering of some subgroups that would have suggested preferential roads for gene flow or a dominant role of some populations in the metapopulation system. On the contrary, network analyses of these populations (Fig. 2 and [Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST2) revealed a centralized structure with particularly important roles for certain populations. The degree distribution, *P*(*k*), i.e., the proportion of nodes with *k* connections to other nodes, decays rapidly for large *k* (Fig. 3*A*) and the 6 highest values are all observed in samples collected in the Balearic Islands (Fig. 2 and [Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST2). The average clustering coefficient of $\langle C \rangle = 0.4$ was significantly higher than that obtained in the corresponding randomized networks ($\langle C_0 \rangle$ = 0.13 with $\sigma_0 = 0.05$ after 10,000 realizations), whereas the local clustering decays as a function of the degree *k* (Fig. 3*B*), which indicates that the central core is substructured into a small set of hubs, with high connectivity and low clustering, linking groups of closely connected nodes (i.e., with high clustering). Examination of the relationship between the degree of a node and the average degree of the populations connected to it showed an abundance of links between highly connected and poorly connected nodes (Fig. 3*C*), a property termed dissortativity, present in many biological networks (25), and confirms again a centralized topology. Observation of Fig. 2 indicates that seagrass populations along the Spanish continental coasts are genetically closer to Balearic populations than to geographically closer populations. The highest values of betweenness centrality [\(Table](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST2) [S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST2) are also attained at the Balearic populations, suggesting that the meadows of this region play or have played a central role in relaying gene flow at the scale of the Spanish coasts. Moreover, the betweenness centrality increases exponentially with the

Fig. 3. Main topological properties found by analyzing the structure of the network of meadows at the Spanish basin scale (Fig. 2). (*A*) The complementary cumulative degree distribution P(degreek). (*B*) The local clustering *C*(*k*). (C) The average degree $\langle k_{nn}(k) \rangle$ in the neighborhood of a meadow with degree *k*. (*D*) the degree-dependent betweenness, *bc*(*k*), as a function of the connectivity degree *k*.

connectivity degree *k* (Fig. 3*D*). The combination of all these findings implies a star-like structure where hubs are connected in cascade and the central core is the set of Balearic populations. A clear but more constrained perspective of this pattern is partly shown by the resulting minimum spanning tree (MST) of populations (Fig. 4*B*; see *Materials and Methods*), which, when analyzed with the network index of betweenness centrality highlights 3 of the major hubs encountered on the network. Yet, some other populations identified on the network appear as poorly connected on the MST, as a consequence of being a more constrained method, which finds the minimal paths required to maintain connectivity but not all of the important ones. The importance of nonminimal paths was underlined in ref. 15 in the context of geographical connectivity. This emphasizes again the advantage of the network illustration and analysis. The biological implication of these results is a great centrality of the Balearic Islands, acting or having acted as a hub for gene flow through the system.

Populations with high degree *k* might either be sources sustaining the system (i.e., spreading propagules), or sinks receiving gene flow from all of the other populations, or both. The extremely low rate of sexual recruitment inferred in populations with low clonal diversity (*R*, see *Materials and Methods*) renders those, if highly connected, much more likely to disperse than to receive. The presence in the Balearic Islands of the 2 populations with the lowest observed clonal diversity and the highest connectivity (Es Port, $R = 0.1$; $k = 10$; and Fornells $R =$ 0.1; $k = 15$), likely representing populations supplying "genetic material'' to neighbor populations, suggests again that the Balearic islands are a key region for the dynamics and connectivity of the metapopulation system at the scale of the Spanish coast. Furthermore, 8 among 16 continental populations show extremely low connectivity $(k = 0)$, thereby allowing identification of those least likely to be rescued by other populations if threatened. As in any genetic approach to metapopulation management, the role of currently observed connectivity in

Fig. 4. Classical tree-like representations of genetic structure for the Spanish meadows. (*A*) UPGMA tree based on Goldstein distances, displaying a quite uninformative comb-like structure. (*B*) Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) based on Goldstein distance among Spanish meadows. This is the subgraph that connects the populations at the Spanish coast scale minimizing the total genetic distance along links, with the diameter of nodes illustrating their index of betweeness centrality according to the topology of the MST.

future population rescuing is more important if current connectivity is limited by dispersal ability rather than by factors affecting survival after dispersal that could change in the future in decaying populations. Additionally, given the particular millenary nature of *P. oceanica* clones, current genetic structure is likely to integrate patterns of gene flow over past centuries and thus may not reflect present-day dynamics.

Both networks, that at the scale of the whole Mediterranean (Fig. 1) and that for the Spanish coasts (Fig. 2), presented ''small world" properties (26), i.e., a diameter ($L = 1.39$ and $L = 1.63$, respectively) shorter than expected for random networks ($\langle L_0 \rangle$ = 1.47 with $\sigma_0 = 0.01$ and $\langle L_0 \rangle = 2.53$ with $\sigma_0 = 0.15$, respectively, after 10,000 randomizations) whereas their clustering was much higher (see numerical values above), suggesting a highly hierarchical substructure of tightly connected groups linked by a few ''short-cuts.'' This evidence for the appearance of short-cuts in gene flow at multiple geographical scales along the history of this species, indicates that rare events of large-scale dispersal have a significant impact on the genetic composition of populations. This result illustrates another benefit of holistic (i.e., taking into account all distances or relationships among agents) network approaches. In the absence of other data, the possible existence of sources tends to be indirectly inferred with classical tools by pointing the populations exhibiting the highest levels of genetic diversity. Here, network analyses revealed that, despite unusually low sexual recruitment in these particular populations, as revealed by low clonal diversity [i.e., low relative contribution of sexual versus clonal reproduction (22)], they are—or have been—important as paths of gene flow in the system.

Our results demonstrate that, in line with progresses allowed by the use of graph theory in landscape ecology (16), network analyses provide a holistic and powerful approach to unravel genetic structure and connectivity at different spatial scales. First, they can be formulated as free of a priori hypotheses about the clustering of populations or of some underlying assumptions—such as Fisher–Wright equilibrium—that are required to run or interpret classical analyses, but are seldom respected in nature. They allow to accurately study gene flow in clonal organisms by removing the prerequisite of genotypic frequency equilibrium required by assignment-based methods. Second, they allow unraveling properties that could not be highlighted by classical methods alone. The use of specific network properties such as the betweenness centrality and the degree distribution allows to identify populations relaying gene flow, or acting as sources supplying the system, in addition to achieving a quantitative ranking of populations depending on their respective roles in the dynamics of the system. Third, network analysis tools provided graphical representations of the genetic relatedness between populations in a multidimensional space (17), free of some of the constraints (e.g., binary branching) compulsory in classical methods describing population relationships. Finally, network analyses are based on distances, which will allow future users to modulate and choose, among the wide panel of distances applicable to molecular data, the most appropriate ones for the particular questions addressed, for the life history traits of the model organism and for the type of molecular markers used. Here, we chose Goldstein distance to study the integration of gene flow over a geological time scale with microsatellite data on a clonal seagrass presenting a pattern of strong genetic structure and ancient divergence. Depending on the time scale that is relevant for the questions addressed, one may choose to use other markers and/or other distances. Additionally, comparison of networks obtained with different markers and distances for the same system may allow inferences as to the evolution of gene flow and connectivity through time. To conclude, addressing gene flow by using network tools may prove a powerful additional analysis in critical areas such as conservation biology, dealing with threatened or invasive species, and epidemiology, for both of which the definition of target populations to be conserved or eradicated is of crucial importance.

Materials and Methods

Molecular Data. Approximately 40 *Posidonia* shoots collected at each of the 37 sampled populations (Fig. 1 and [Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1) were genotyped with a previously selected set of 7 dinucleotide microsatellites (27) allowing the identification of clones (also called genets for clonal plants). Clonal diversity was estimated for each population as described in Arnaud-Haond *et al.* (27, 28), by means of the clonal richness index *R* defined in terms of the number *G* of different genotypes found in *N* plant samples: $R = (G - 1)/(N - 1)$. Replicates of the same clone were excluded for the estimation of interpopulation distances. The matrix of interpopulation distances was built by using Goldstein metrics (24), thus taking into account the level of molecular divergence among alleles, besides the differences in allelic frequencies.

Networks. We first built a fully connected network with the 37 populations considered as nodes. Each link joining pairs of populations was labeled with the Goldstein distance among them. Because we are aiming at detecting important key nodes to the (genetic) flow in the network, it becomes of crucial importance to examine the situation under which the structure of the network becomes disconnected into small clusters. Below that point (the percolation point), the network looses its ability to transport resources across the whole system. We then removed links from this network of genetic similarity, starting from the one with the largest genetic distance and following in

Fig. 5. The average cluster size excluding the largest one, as a function of the imposed genetic threshold, at the whole Mediterranean scale. This identifies $Dp = 91$ as the percolation threshold.

decreasing order, until the network reaches the percolation point (29), beyond which it loses its integrity and fragments into small clusters. This means that gene flow across the whole system is disabled if connections at a distance smaller than this critical one, *Dp*, are removed. The precise location of this percolation point is made with the standard methodology adequate for finite systems (29), i.e., by calculating the average size of the clusters excluding the largest one,

$$
\langle S \rangle^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s < S_{max}} s^2 n_s,\tag{1}
$$

as a function of the last distance value removed, *thr*, and identifying the critical distance with the one at which $\langle S \rangle^*$ has a maximum. *N* is the total number of nodes not included in the largest cluster and *n^s* is the number of clusters containing *s* nodes. Here, we find *Dp* = 91, as shown in Fig. 5.

Once the network at percolation point is obtained, we analyzed its topol-ogy and characteristics (See Fig. 1 and [Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1) and interpret those biologi-cally. The first column in [Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/Supplemental_PDF#nameddest=ST1) contains also the estimated clonal diversity *R* of the different populations, defined as the proportion of different genotypes found with respect to the total number of collected shoots.

At the Spanish coasts scale, no percolation point is found by using the above procedure, meaning that the genetic structure in this area is rather different from the one at the whole-Mediterranean scale. To construct a useful network representation of the meadows' genetic similarity, the following alternative process was applied to determine a relevant distance threshold, *thr*, above which links are discarded (see [Movie S1](http://www.pnas.org/content/vol0/issue2008/images/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/SM1.avi) with the network of Spanish basin at a full sequence of thresholds). At a very low threshold (*thr* 16), only the inner part of a central core, constituted by some meadows from the Balearic Islands, is connected. As the threshold is increased, new meadows (from the central Spanish coast) become connected (*thr* = 20). Beyond that value, more peripheral meadows are connected from the northern and southern Spanish coasts. The geographical extension of the connected cluster (Fig. 6) grows with the distance threshold and an important jump occurs at $thr = 22$, when the northern and southern coasts get connected for the first time. Further distance-threshold increase does not contribute to geographical extension. Therefore, we find the value $thr = 22$ and the resulting network as appropriate for topological characterization, because at this point the network contains a rich mixture of strong and weak links spanning all of the available geographic scales within the Mediterranean Spanish coasts. The determination of this ''geographic threshold'' is similar in spirit to the determination in ref. 30 of plateaus and discontinuities in network descriptors to identify relevant spatial scales.

Estimates of Global and Local Properties of the Network. The degree *ki* of a given node *i* is the number of other nodes linked to it (i.e., the number of neighbor nodes). The distribution *P*(*k*) gives the proportion of nodes in the network having degree *k*.

We denote by *Ei*the number of links existing among the neighbors of node *i.* This quantity takes values between 0 and $E_i^{\text{(max)}} = k_i (k_i - 1) / 2$, which is the

Fig. 6. The maximal geographic distance connected (at the Spanish coasts scale) as a function of the imposed distance threshold (*thr*). Above *thr* = 22 the maximal geographic distance covered by connected populations nearly duplicates, and this value—a ''geographic threshold''—is chosen to construct the corresponding network.

case of a fully connected neighborhood. The clustering coefficient *Ci* of node *i* is defined as:

$$
C_i = \frac{E_i}{E_i^{(max)}} = \frac{2E_i}{k_i(k_i - 1)}.
$$
 [2]

The clustering coefficient of the whole network $\langle\mathsf{C}\rangle$ is defined as the average of all individual clustering coefficients in the system. The degree dependent clustering *C*(*k*) is obtained after averaging *Ci* for nodes with degree *k*.

Real networks exhibit correlations among their nodes (25, 31–36) that play an important role in the characterization of the network topology. Those node correlations are, furthermore, essential to understand the dynamical aspects such as spreading of information or their robustness against targeted or random removal of their elements. In social networks, nodes having many connections tend to be connected with other highly connected nodes. This characteristic is usually referred to as assortativity, or assortative mixing. On the other hand, technological and biological networks show rather the property that nodes having high degrees are preferably connected with nodes having low degrees, a property referred to as dissortativity. Assortativity is usually studied by determining the properties of the average degree $\langle k_{nn} \rangle$ of neighbors of a node as a function of its degree *k* (25, 35, 37). If this function is increasing, the network is assortative, because it shows that nodes of high-degree connect, on average, to nodes of high degree. Alternatively, if the function is decreasing, as in our present case, the network is dissortative, as nodes of high degree tend to connect to nodes of lower degree. In this last case, the nodes with high degree are therefore central hubs ensuring the connection of the whole system.

The betweenness centrality (38) of node *i*, *bc*(*i*), counts the fraction of shortest paths between pairs of nodes that pass through node i . Let σ_{st} denote the number of shortest paths connecting nodes s and t and $\sigma_{st}(i)$ the number of those passing through the node *i*. Then,

$$
bc(i) = \sum_{s \neq t \neq i} \frac{\sigma_{st}(i)}{\sigma_{st}}.
$$
 [3]

The degree-dependent betweeness, *bc*(*k*), is the average betweeness value of nodes having degree *k*.

Minimum Spanning Tree. Given a connected, undirected graph, a spanning tree of that graph is a subgraph without cycles that connects all of the vertices together. A single graph can have many different spanning trees. Provided each edge is labeled with a cost (in our analysis the genetic distance among the connected populations) each spanning tree can be characterized by the sum of the cost of its edges. A minimum spanning tree is then a spanning tree with minimal total cost. A minimum spanning tree is in fact the minimum-cost subgraph connecting all vertices, because subgraphs containing cycles necessarily have more total cost. Fig. 4 shows the minimum spanning tree for the Spanish meadows. The star-like structure centered at Balearic populations is evident, although the restriction of being a tree prevents some of the wellconnected populations of the network approach to be identified here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank François Bonhomme and Pierre Boudry for useful discussions, and two anonymous referees for constructive criticisms and suggestions that helped improving a previous manuscript. This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science and the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional through Project FISICOS

- 1. Haydon DT, Cleaveland S, Taylor LH, Laurenson MK (2002) Identifying reservoirs of infection: A conceptual and practical challenge. *Emerging Inf Dis* 8:1468–1473.
- 2. Travis JMJ, Park KJ (2004) Spatial structure and the control of invasive alien species. *Anim Conservation* 7:321–330.
- 3. Williams JC, ReVelle CS, Levin SA (2004) Using mathematical optimization models to design nature reserves. *Front Ecol Environ* 2:98–105.
- 4. Fisher RA (1930) *The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection* (Clarendon, Oxford).
- 5. Haldane J (1932) *The Causes of Evolution* (Longmans Green, London, UK).
- 6. Wright S (1931) Evolution in Mendelian populations. *Genetics* 16:97–159.
- 7. Wright S (1943) Isolation by distance. *Genetics* 28:114–138.

AS

- 8. Hey J, Machado CA (2003) The study of structured populations—New hope for a difficult and divided science. *Nat Rev Genet* 4:535–543.
- 9. Albert R, Jeong H, Barabasi AL (2000) Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. *Nature* 406:378–382.
- 10. Amaral LAN, Scala A, Barthelemy M, Stanley HE (2000) Classes of small-world networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:11149–11152.
- 11. Strogatz SH (2001) Exploring complex networks. *Nature* 410:268–276.
- 12. May RM (2006) Network structure and the biology of populations. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21:394–399.
- 13. Proulx SR, Promislow DEL, Phillips PC (2005) Network thinking in ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol Evol* 20:345–353.
- 14. Watts DJ (1999) Networks, dynamics, and the small-world phenomenon. *Am J Sociol* 105:493–527.
- 15. McRae BH, Beier P (2007) Circuit theory predicts gene flow in plant and animal populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:19885–19890.
- 16. Urban D, Keitt T (2001) Landscape connectivity: A graph-theoretic perspective. *Ecology* 82:1205–1218.
- 17. Dyer RJ, Nason JD (2004) Population graphs: The graph theoretic shape of genetic structure. *Mol Ecol* 13:1713–1727.
- 18. Rozenfeld AF, *et al.* (2007) Spectrum of genetic diversity and networks of clonal populations. *J R Soc Interface* 4:1093.
- 19. Lago-Fernandez LF, Huerta R, Corbacho F, Siguenza JA (2000) Fast response and temporal coherent oscillations in small-world networks. *Phys Rev Lett* 84:2758–2761.
- 20. Hemminga MA, Duarte CM (2001) *Seagrass Ecology* (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK)

(FIS2007-60327), the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Technologia and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional through project NETWORK- (POCI 2010), and a postdoctoral fellowship (S.A.-H.), the BBVA Foundation (Spain), and the European Commission through the NEST-Complexity project EDEN (043251).

- 21. Diaz-Almela E, *et al.* (2006) Patterns of seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) flowering in the Western Mediterranean. *Mar Biol* 148:723–742.
- 22. Arnaud-Haond S, *et al.* (2007) Vicariance patterns in the Mediterranean Sea: East-west cleavage and low dispersal in the endemic seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *J Biogeogr* 34:963–976.
- 23. Diaz-Almela E, *et al.* (2008) Patch dynamics of the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*: Implications for recolonisation process. *Aquat Bot* 89:397–403.
- 24. Goldstein DB, Linares AR, Cavalli-Sforza LL, Feldman MW (1995) Genetic absolute dating based on microsatellites and the origin of modern humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:6723–6727.
- 25. Newman MEJ (2002) Assortative mixing in networks. *Phys Rev Lett* 89:208701.
- 26. Watts DJ, Strogatz SH (1998) Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *Nature* 393:440–442.
- 27. Arnaud-Haond S, *et al.* (2005) Assessing genetic diversity in clonal organisms: Low diversity or low resolution? Combining power and cost efficiency in selecting markers. *J Hered* 96:434–440.
- 28. Arnaud-Haond S, Belkhir K (2007) GenClone 1.0: A new program to analyse genetics data on clonal organisms. *Mol Ecol Notes* 7:15–17.
- 29. Stauffer D, Aharony A (1994) *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor & Francis, London).
- 30. Brooks CP (2006) Quantifying population substructure: Extending the graph-theoretic approach. *Ecology* 87:864–872.
- 31. Barrat A, Pastor-Satorras R (2005) Rate equation approach for correlations in growing network models. *Phys Rev E* 71:036127.
- 32. Boguñá M, Pastor-Satorras R (2003) Class of correlated random networks with hidden variables. *Phys Rev E* 68:036112.
- 33. Maslov S, Sneppen K (2002) Specificity and stability in topology of protein networks. *Science* 296:910–913.
- 34. Newman MEJ (2003) Mixing patterns in networks. *Phys Rev E* 67:026126.
- 35. Pastor-Satorras R, Vazquez A, Vespignani A (2001) Dynamical and correlation properties of the internet. *Phys Rev Lett* 87:258701.
- 36. Vazquez A, Pastor-Satorras R, Vespignani A (2002) Large-scale topological and dynamical properties of the internet. *Phys Rev E* 65:066130.
- 37. Lee SH, Kim PJ, Jeong H (2006) Statistical properties of sampled networks. *Phys Rev E* 73:016102.
- 38. FreemanLC(1977)Setofmeasuresofcentralitybasedonbetweenness. *Sociometry*40:35–41.

Supporting Information

Rozenfeld *et al.* **10.1073/pnas.0805571105**

[Movie S1 \(AVI\)](http://www.pnas.org/content/vol0/issue2008/images/data/0805571105/DCSupplemental/SM1.avi)

SVNG

Table S1. Local properties of the whole Mediterranean network for $thr = Dp = 91$

PNAS PNAS

Information is given for the betweenness centrality (*bc*) and clustering (*C*), as well as clonal diversity estimates (*R*) for each sample.

Table S2. Local properties of the network constructed with the Spanish meadows

PNAS PNAS

Information is given for the connectivity degree (*k*), betweenness centrality (bc) and clustering (*C*), as well as clonal diversity estimates (*R*) for each sample.